

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/698,246 | FU ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Chat C. Do | 2193 | |

All Participants:

(1) Chat C. Do.

(2) Rob Sokohl.
Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 October 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S.C. 101

Claims discussed:
Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

RECEIVED-AL 11/1/06
 SUPPLEMENTARY PATENT EXAMINER
 [Signature]

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner authorized by SPE, Chaki Kakali, to initiate a call to the attorney of record, Mr. Rob Sokohl, to discuss the proposed amendment. Upon the discussion, the attorney of record agreed and authorized to the examiner to do the examiner amendment according to the proposed amendment.